Strengthen implementation of land use management plans (LUP) **Draft: 13 Dec 2013** Landscapes Salonga (WWF) Lac Tumba (WWF) Virunga (WWF) Promote MLW Suitable NR alternatives to Bateke (WCS) Plans are adapted alternatives exist Ituri (WCS) unsustainable based on NR use MTKB (CI) monitoring Strengthen capacity to information Resource Rights implement LUPs Local authorities and communities Stakeholders are able to regulate have the capacity to outside actors implement LUPs Implementation Strengthen Governance indicator Approved / Customary land implementation Landscapes (needs details) Stakeholders tenure / resource endorsed of land use Salonga (WWF) participatory LUP monitor LUPs rights are more Lac Tumba (WWF) management implementation are in place secure Virunga (WWF) plans (LUP) MLW Bateke (WCS) Plans are Ituri (WCS) implemented Stakeholder participation MTKB (CI) Landscapes Number of ha managed Salonga (WWF) Stakeholders have Stakeholders value Lac Tumba (WWF) in compliance with LUP **Humid Forest** LUPs All threats mitigated Local governance ownership and Virunga (WWF) buy-in to LUP structure in place Ecosystems MLW Incentives for to implement LUP Stakeholders Bateke (WCS) implementation self-regulate their Governance indicator MTKB (CI) are appropriately / natural resource (needs details) equitably use Already done for Ituri distributed (WCS), except on Stakeholders CBNRMs) participate in LUP Incentives for Reduced conflict design Resources for implementation among incentives are institutionalized stakeholders Marginalized mobilized groups (including women) participate in LUP design and implementation Link to other strategies Promote Promote Stakeholders REDD+ sustainable / Enhance policy and other understand LUP integration regulatory artisanal use payment and are aware of environments of natural supporting sustainable ecosystem resources NRM and biodiversity services _ Introduce conservation Environmental Impact Planning & Management of infrastructure & extractive industries